

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/825,725	04/15/2004	Jutta Lindemann	63665.00001 8868	
75	90 08/23/2006	EXAMINER		
SQUIRE, SAN	NDERS & DEMPSEY	. CHEUNG, WILLIAM K		
Two Renaissand	ce Square			
Suite 2700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
40 North Centra	ıl Avenue	1713		
Phoenix, AZ 8	35004-4498	DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/825,	725	LINDEMANN, JU	TTA			
		Examine	er .	Art Unit				
			K. Cheung	1713				
The II Period for Repl	MAILING DATE of this communica Y	ation appears on th	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress			
WHICHEVEI - Extensions of the after SIX (6) Michigan SIX	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI ime may be available under the provisions of 3 ONTHS from the mailing date of this communion reply is specified above, the maximum statut within the set or extended period for reply will yed by the Office later than three months after them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ication. ory period will apply and I, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this of				
Status								
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>16 August 200</u>	<u>6</u> .					
2a)⊠ This a	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed	in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Q	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of (Claims							
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim(6) ☑ Claim(7) ☐ Claim((s) 1-25 is/are pending in the app the above claim(s) 22-25 is/are v (s) is/are allowed. (s) 1-21 is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co						
Application Pag	Ders							
	ecification is objected to by the E	Evaminer						
•) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119							
a)⊠ AII 1.⊠ (2.□ (3.□ (viedgment is made of a claim for b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority do Certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa attached detailed Office action f	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docum Il Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica nents have been receiv ule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
1) D Notice of Refe	rences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar					
3) 🔲 Information Di	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT lail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/825,725 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

- 1. The request filed on August 16, 2006 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 10/825,725 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. Claims 1-25 are pending. Claims 22-25 are drawn to non-elected subjected matter. Claims 1-21 are examined with merit.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,725 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makino et al. (US 6,552,130 B1) in view of Borden et al. (US 6,211,259) for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 6 of the office action of February 14, 2006.

Applicant's arguments filed August 16, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that there is not motivation to combine the teachings of Makino et al. (US 6,552,130 B1) with Borden et al. (US 6,211,259), because the teachings of Borden et al. deal with the adhesion between the surfaces of a polyurethane resin and its acrylic gel coat which has already been polymerized. However, applicants must recognize that an adhesive property has to deal with the interactions between the surfaces of at least two different substrates, such as the polyurethane and acrylic gel coat of Borden et al. If applicants argue that Borden et al. must disclose that the acrylic gel coat in the non-polymerized form in order to be combined, applicants must recognize that Borden et al. do not have any adhesive problem before the acrylic gel coat is polymerized.

Regarding applicants' argument that the claimed invention requires the phosphoric acid (the adhesion promoter) to be added while the claimed invention is still in the liquid form, applicants fail to recognize that the adhesion promoter of Borden et al. is added to the B-side liquid resin (col. 16, line 34-43) before the formation of polyurethane.

In view of the reasons set forth above, the examiner has a reasonable basis to believe that Borden et al. has provided or suggested disclosure to suggest the compatibility of phosphoric acid esters as adhesive promoters for use in acrylate resin.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/825,725 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K. Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung, Ph. D.

Primary Examiner

WILLIAM K. CHEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER

August 20, 2006